

Editorial

BEYOND GATEKEEPING: THE ROLE OF REVIEWERS IN ENHANCING THE SCHOLARLY IMPACT OF MANUSCRIPTS

Peer review, a ritual practiced across various disciplines and professions, is the cornerstone in maintaining the quality standards of scholarship and rigour in 'what counts as knowledge' and what is reported in a journal.^[1] Pearson^[2] avers that the 'heart of a professional journal', such as this one, rests in the quality of its publications. The recognition of this long-standing tradition in academia is contingent on the commitment and dedication of its authors, reviewers and editors.^[1-8] Before I embark on the virtues and benefits that the peer review process offers, it is prudent to acknowledge that it is not a perfect process and is in dire need of improvement.^[1] The critique of the review process spans a variety of perspectives; some argue that it is excessively obstructive, perpetuating traditional norms and reinforcing existing hierarchies and biases. Others contend that it hinders the dissemination of new knowledge and may permit the publishing of flawed and/or deceitful results.^[1] Morley and Grammer^[1] also flag concerns of systemic racism and inequality and call for greater efforts in realising increased diversity of perspectives in research, including within peer review.

Despite the flaws, this editorial underscores the critical importance of peer reviewers in scholarly advancement, showing how they act as custodians of the academic process and advocates against intellectual inertia. Peer reviewers ensure the integrity of academic discourse and facilitate the smooth dissemination of knowledge by meticulously evaluating manuscripts and providing recommendations to the editor regarding publication. They are instrumental in ensuring novel, relevant and meaningful contributions to the existing body of knowledge within their respective fields. Additionally, peer reviewers have the potential to pioneer new avenues of inquiry within their respective fields. To enhance the integrity of the review process, the reviewers are generally blinded, meaning authors and reviewers typically do not know each other.^[3-6, 8]

As mentioned above, peer reviewers uphold rigour and excellence of journal publications while ensuring the credibility and reproducibility of research findings in manuscripts. They achieve this by scrutinising the methodological and analytical techniques, evaluating the validity and reliability or trustworthiness of research methods. Through this process, reviewers prevent the dissemination of flawed or unsubstantiated findings and enhance empirical inquiry in the reporting. While researchers and/or authors bear primary responsibility for ethical conduct in research, the reviewer plays a crucial role in upholding the ethical standards in academic publishing and safeguarding the integrity of scientific research. Reviewers remain vigilant in identifying issues like plagiarism, data fabrication and conflicts of interest, thereby, preserving the trust and credibility essential to both scholarly endeavors and the journal.^[3, 6, 8]

Peer reviewers play a fundamental role in addressing the prevalence of redundant or duplicated research that poses a significant obstacle to scholarly progress. To achieve this, they compare submissions with existing literature, pinpoint instances of duplication, and prompt authors to refine their contributions in light of prior work. This process not only maintains standards of originality, but also fosters creativity and innovation in scholarly discourse, as well as cultivates a culture of excellence in scholarship. Additionally, reviewers evaluate contextual relevance and timeliness of submissions within the broader academic and/or professional landscape. The importance of a manuscript closely links to its ability to contribute to current debates, fill knowledge gaps and offer fresh, new perspectives. Thus, reviewers are instrumental in ensuring published work remains responsive to the evolving needs and interests of the academic community and its publics.^[3, 6]

The role of reviewers is crucial in an era marked by interdisciplinary collaboration, whereby they encourage the exchange of ideas and insights. From an advantaged viewpoint, they can assess the interdisciplinary significance of submissions. Additionally, a diverse academic community nurtures creativity and innovation, and reviewers assist journal editors by advocating for the inclusion of marginalised voices and methods. By embracing perspectives that are more inclusive and challenging traditional beliefs, reviewers have the potential to contribute to the enrichment and pluralism of academic discourses, fostering a more vibrant intellectual landscape.^[2]

Reviewers usually undertake manuscripts reviewing on a voluntary basis without monetary gain. However, there are several key benefits for being a reviewer, aside from the personal satisfaction in acknowledging one's contributions to the quality advancement of knowledge. Firstly, reviewers grow professionally by being current in their field, deepening their understanding of research trends and enhancing their own critical thinking and writing skills. A second benefit is the professional networking opportunities as the peer review process can lead to collaborations with other authors, reviewers and editors. Thirdly, providing high quality reviews may lead to invitations to serve on editorial boards or other leadership roles with journals, enhancing one's professional reputation. These could also lead to positively influencing promotions for university staff.^[1, 5, 6, 7]

Journals should actively seek out diverse peer reviewers from different backgrounds, disciplines, and regions to ensure a more comprehensive and unbiased evaluation of manuscripts, in order to deal with some of the challenges that plague the peer review process.^[1]

As we navigate the shifting scholarly landscape, it is important to acknowledge the crucial role of peer reviewers in expanding the horizons of knowledge and enriching scholarly dialogue. They serve as champions of intellectual progress, shaping the trajectory of scholarship and deepening our understanding of humanity's most pressing questions and challenges.

Roshnee Sunder

Editorial board member

<https://doi.org/10.54450/SARADIO.2024.62.1.827>

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0885-3177>

REFERENCES

1. Pearson, GS. The ongoing importance of peer review. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurse Association*. 2023; 29(6): 445-446. doi: [10.1177/10783903231205311](https://doi.org/10.1177/10783903231205311)
2. Morley CP, Grammer, S. Now more than ever: reflections on the state and importance of peer review. *PRiMER*. 2021; 5: 36 doi: [10.22454/PRiMER.2021.216183](https://doi.org/10.22454/PRiMER.2021.216183)
3. Siau K, Kulkarni AV, El-Omar E. How to be a good reviewer for a scientific journal. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hepatology*. 2022; 12(4): 1238-1243. doi: [10.1016/j.jceh.2022.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jceh.2022.04.006)
4. Kelly J, Sadeghieh T, Adeli K. Peer review in scientific publications: benefits, critiques, & a survival guide. *The Journal of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*. 2014; 25(3): 227-243.
5. Vishvanathan K, Kambhampati SBS, Malni L. Reviewer's checklist for evaluating scientific manuscripts. *Indian Journal of Orthopaedics*. 2022; 56: 175-182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43465-022-00602-x>
6. Eynon R. How to review a journal article: questions of quality, contribution and appeal. *Learning, Media and Technology*. 2014; 39(2): 151-153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2014.888354>
7. Brazeau A, DiPiro JT, Fincham JE, Boucher BA, Tracy TS. Your role and responsibilities in the manuscript peer review process. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*. 2008; 72(3): 69. doi: [10.5688/aj720369](https://doi.org/10.5688/aj720369)
8. Gregory AT, Denniss AR. Everything you need to know about peer review – the good, the bad and the ugly. *Heart, Lung and Circulation*. 2019; 28: 1148-1153. doi: [10.1016/j.hlc.2019.05.171](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2019.05.171)