

16. Patton MQ. Qualitative research and evaluation methods: integrating and practice, 4th ed. Minnesota: Sage; 2015, 479
17. Bradshaw C, Atkinson S, Doody O. Employing a qualitative description approach in health care research. *Global Qualitative Nursing Research*. 2017; 4: 1-8
18. Saunders B, Sim J, Kingstone T, Baker S, Waterfield J, Bartlem B et al. Saturation in qualitative research: exploring its conceptualisation and operationalisation. *Qual Quant*. 2018; 52:1893–1907. [cited 2020 June 25]. Accessed from : <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-017-0574-8>
19. Creswell JW. Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five approaches. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 2013, 161
20. Schreier M. Qualitative Content Analysis. In Flick U (ed). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data analysis*, London: Sage; 2014, 170-183
21. Petri S, Berthelsen CB. Lived experiences of everyday life during curative radiotherapy in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer: a phenomenological study. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being* 2015; 10:1. [cited 2020 March 18]. Accessed from: <http://29397>, DOI: 10.3402/qhw.v10.29397
22. Marcum JA. An introductory philosophy of medicine: humanising modern medicine, Berlin: Springer; 2008, pgs40-54
23. Nathenson SL. Critical theory and medical care in America: changing doctor patient dynamics. *Sociology Compass*, 2010; 4 [12]: 1043-1053
24. Ose D, Winkler EC, Berger S, Baudendistel I, Kamradt M, Eckrich F, Szecsenyi J. Complexity of care and strategies of self-management in patients with colorectal cancer. *Patient preference and adherence*. 2017; 11: 731-742
25. Shim EJ, Park EU, Yi M, Jung D, Lee M, Hahn BJ. Tailoring communications to the evolving needs of patients throughout the cancer care trajectory: a qualitative exploration with breast cancer patients. *BMC Women's Health*, 2016; 16: 65-73
26. Han PKJ, Klein WMP, Arora NK. Varieties of uncertainty in healthcare: a conceptual taxonomy. *Medical Decision Making*, 2011; 31: 828-838
27. Hillen MA, Gutheil CM, Strout TD, Smets EMA. Tolerance of uncertainty: conceptual analysis, integrative model, and implications for healthcare. *Social Science and Medicine*, 2017; 180: 62-75
28. Rafii F, Fatemi NS, Danielson E, Johansson CM, Mondaloo M. Compliance to treatment in patients with chronic illness: a concept exploration. *Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Research*, 2014; 19: 159-167
29. Wong KP, Woo JW, Li JYY, Lee KE, Youn YK, Lang BHH, Surg WJ. Using transcatheter laryngeal ultrasonography (TLUSG) to assess post-thyroidectomy patients' vocal cords: which maneuver best optimises visualization and assessment accuracy? *World Journal of Surgery*, 2016; 40: 652-658
30. Kvale K. Do cancer patients want to talk about difficult emotions: a qualitative study of cancer inpatients communication needs. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 2007; 11: 320-327
31. Ticona-Benavente SB, Costa ALS. Chemotherapy treatment: colorectal cancer patients' perception. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*, 2018; 8: 85-92

ERRATUM November 2019 | Vol 57 No 2 | Page 13

To
The Editor
South African Radiographer

Erratum: Experiences of radiography students regarding the objective structured clinical examination (OSCE): a case of the University of Namibia (UNAM)

Willow-Jean KV Haufiku *BRad Radiography* | Edwin R Daniels *BTech: Radiography* | Abel Karera *MSc Radiography*
University of Namibia, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Nursing, Windhoek, Namibia

We would like to notify your office about the incorrect sample size mentioned in our article that was published in the November issue in 2019.

Methods. A qualitative, exploratory, descriptive, retrospective and contextual research design with an ethnographic approach was utilised. A purposively selected sample of second, third and fourth year radiography students (n=20) participated in the study.

It should read :

Methods. A qualitative, exploratory, descriptive, retrospective and contextual research design with an ethnographic approach was utilised. A purposively selected sample of second, third and fourth year radiography students (n=30) participated in the study.

In the body of the article under **MATERIALS AND METHODS** it reads:

Four FGDs were held until data were saturated enabling group consensus to be reached. The study included twenty (n=20) participants in four focus groups: group one (n=6), group two (n=7), group 3 (n=7), and group 4 (n=10).

It should read:

Four FGDs were held until data were saturated enabling group consensus to be reached. The study included thirty (n=30) participants in four focus groups: group one (n=6), group two (n=7), group 3 (n=7), and group 4 (n=10).

Regards
Edwin R Daniels